



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,322	07/26/2006	Setsuo Tokoro	07057.0123-00000	4680
22852	7590	08/07/2009	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413				NGUYEN, TAN QUANG
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3661				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/587,322	TOKORO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	TAN Q. NGUYEN	3661	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALYSSA HOLTSLANDER. (3) TAN NGUYEN.  
 (2) ANTHONY GUTOWSKI. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16,29 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: WINNER ET AL. (6,580,385).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the applicant's representative discussed the claimed invention and the reference used. The proposed amendment is read which includes the features of the three probabilities for the three situation. Examiner agreed to to reconsider the application in light of the discussion and the formal amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/TAN Q NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3661	
---	--